

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 ANKARA 06105 241327Z
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 L-03 HA-05 CA-01 SCS-06 SP-02 SS-15
NSC-05 CIAE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 H-01 JUSE-00 /061 W
-----095242 241350Z /42

P 241250Z AUG 78
FM AMEMBASSY ANKARA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 3092
INFO AMCONSUL ADANA
AMCONSUL ISTANBUL
AMCONSUL IZMIR

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE ANKARA 6105

E.O. 11652: N/A
TAGS: CARR, TU
SUBJECT: PRISONER TRANSFER TREATY: NEGOTIATIONS

REF ANKARA 6058

1. US AND TURKISH NEGOTIATING TEAMS MET BRIEFLY MORNING AUGUST 22. REGARDING PROBLEM OF SUBSTITUTED SENTENCING (REFTEL), US SIDE PROPOSED TWO-TRACK SYSTEM, WHEREBY EACH STATE WOULD FOLLOW PRACTICE REQUIRED BY ITS OWN CONSTITUTION AND LAWS. TURKISH SIDE QUESTIONED WHETHER US COULD VALIDLY DETAIN PRISONERS TRANSFERRED UNDER TREATY WITHOUT BENEFIT OF NEW DECISION BY US COURT, CONFIRMING SENTENCE IMPOSED BY TURKISH COURT. US TEAM ASSURED TURKS SUFFICIENT AUTHORITY WAS CONFERRED BY TREATY ITSELF AND IMPLEMENTING LEGISLATION (PL 95-144) TO PERMIT ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ENFORCE SENTENCE. BOTH SIDES THEN AGREED TO RECESS UNTIL NEXT MORNING SO THAT TURKS COULD CONSULT WITH MINISTRY OF JUSTICE TO DETERMINE WHETHER US PROPOSAL WAS ACCEPTABLE.

2. TALKS RESUMED AUGUST 23 WITH TWO SIDES PROCEEDING
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 ANKARA 06105 241327Z

METHODICALLY THROUGH TURKISH DRAFT TEXT AS FAR AS ARTICLE 11. TURKS GAVE NO REPEAT NO DEFINITIVE RESPONSE TO US PROPOSAL RE SUBSTITUTED SENTENCING, BUT INDICATED A MUTUALLY ACCEPTABLE FORMULA COULD PROBABLY BE WORKED OUT. MEANWHILE, PRINCIPAL ISSUES OF DISCUSSION WERE:
A. RULE OF SPECIALITY (ARTICLE 6). US SIDE URGED THAT THIS PROVISION BE OMITTED ON GROUND IT WAS MORE PROPERLY

PART OF EXTRADITION TREATY OR NON-CONSENT TRANSFER TREATY, SUCH AS EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL VALIDITY OF CRIMINAL JUDGMENTS. UNDER PROPOSED US-TURKISH TREATY, BASED ON CONSENT OF PRISONER TO TRANSFER, US WOULD HAVE GREAT DIFFICULTY IN GIVING UP RIGHT TO PROSECUTE AN OFFENDER ON PRIOR CHARGES OUTSTANDING AGAINST HIM IN US. HOWEVER, TURKISH SIDE INSISTED ON RETAINING SOME FORM OF RULE IN TEXT, PARTICULARLY WITH REGARD TO POLITICAL OR PURELY MILITARY OFFENSES. LATER IN DAY, ALTERNATIVE MODIFYING LANGUAGE PROPOSED BY US SIDE WAS ACCEPTED IN PRINCIPLE BY TURKS.

B. AUTHORITY OF ATTORNEY GENERAL. TURKS CONTINUED TO EXPRESS UNEASE OVER PROSPECT THAT PRISONER TRANSFERRED UNDER TREATY MIGHT SUCCESSFULLY CHALLENGE VALIDITY OF TRANSFER OR OF TREATY ITSELF IN US COURTS. US SIDE OFFERED ASSURANCES, INCLUDING ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE TO BE ADDED TO ARTICLE 11 OR ELSEWHERE, THAT ATTORNEY GENERAL HAD FULL AUTHORITY UNDER TREATY (AS HE DID UNDER PRIOR TREATIES WITH MEXICO, CANADA AND BOLIVIA) AND IMPLEMENTING LEGISLATION TO ENFORCE SENTENCE IMPOSED BY FOREIGN COURT. US SIDE ALSO POINTED OUT PROVISIONS IN TREATY AND LEGISLATION THAT IF COURT HELD TRANSFER NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH TREATY, PRISONER WOULD STILL BE SUBJECT TO RETURN TO TURKISH CUSTODY, ON TIMELY REQUEST OF GOT. MOREOVER, BASED ON EXPERIENCE TO DATE, US SIDE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 ANKARA 06105 241327Z

FELT IT WAS HIGHLY UNLIKELY TREATY ITSELF WOULD BE DECLARED INVALID BY US COURT.

C. REVIEW OR APPEAL OF SENTENCE (ARTICLE 9). TWO SIDES AGREED THAT SENTENCING STATE SHOULD HAVE EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION OVER ANY APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF SENTENCE, ALL APPEALS OR ANY OTHER PROCEEDINGS SEEKING TO CHALLENGE MODIFY OR SET ASIDE CONVICTIONS. HOWEVER, REGARDING POWER TO GRANT AMNESTY, TURKS ASKED FOR LANGUAGE WHICH WOULD PERMIT REQUESTED (RECEIVING) STATE TO INCLUDE TRANSFERRED PRISONERS IN BENEFITS OF ANY GENERAL AMNESTY.

3. COMMENT: WORK ON PRISONER TRANSFER TREATY IS PROCEEDING IN SLOW, BUT CORDIAL, MANNER. MUCH TIME IS DEVOTED TO EXPLAINING TO OTHER SIDE REQUIREMENTS OF TURKISH OR AMERICAN LEGAL SYSTEMS. IT HAS BECOME EVIDENT THAT SOME MEMBERS OF TURKISH SIDE ARE INTENT ON ADHERING AS CLOSELY AS POSSIBLE TO EUROPEAN CONVENTION. DIFFICULTIES INEVITABLY ARISE, SINCE EUROPEAN CONVENTION IS NON-CONSENT REGIME, WHEREAS PROPOSED US-TURKISH TREATY AND PRIOR TREATIES WITH

MEXICO, CANADA AND BOLIVIA ARE BASED ON CONSENT OF
PRISONER PRIOR TO TRANSFER. THEREFORE, IT APPEARS
LIKELY IT WILL BE MIDDLE OF NEXT WEEK AT EARLIES
BEFORE TWO SIDES CAN REACH AGREEMENT ON TEXT.
END COMMENT.
DILLON

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 24 aug 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978ANKARA06105
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780346-0917
Format: TEL
From: ANKARA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780825/aaaaavy.tel
Line Count: 122
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: a641105c-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 78 ANKARA 6058
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 13 jun 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 1626590
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: PRISONER TRANSFER TREATY: NEGOTIATIONS
TAGS: CARR, TU
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/a641105c-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014